	Case 1:23-cv-00054-HBK	Document 127	Filed 04/01/25	Page 1 of 2			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	DURRELL ANTHONY PUO	CKETT,	Case No. 1:23-cv-0	0054-HBK (PC)			
12	Plaintiff,			PLAINTIFF'S MOTION			
13	v.			TING THE MOTION			
14	BARAONA, T. CAMPBELL HERNANDEZ and WHITE,	4,	(Doc. No. 100)				
15	Defendant	c					
16	Defendant	3.					
17							
18	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion requesting the Court to lift the stay and						
19	direct Defendants to file a response to his pending motion for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 100						
20	at 1). Plaintiff alternatively asks the Court to enter a default for Defendants' failure to respond to						
21	his motion for summary judgment. ( <i>Id.</i> at 2).						
22	The Court did not stay this case, but did afford Defendants extensions of time to respond						
23	to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment. (Doc. Nos. 87, 121, 124). Defendants' response to						
24	Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment was due on March 25, 2025. (Doc. No. 124). On						
25	March 25, 2025, Defendants timely filed an opposition to Plaintiff's motion for summary						
26	judgment. (Doc. No. 126). Thus, Defendants have timely responded to the motion for summary						
27	judgment so Plaintiffs' motion seeking a court order directing a response is moot. Further,						

because Defendants timely filed a response to the motion for summary judgment, Plaintiff is not

28

	Case 1:2	3-cv-00054-HBK	Document 127	Filed 04/01/25	Page 2 of 2			
1	entitled to a default judgment on his motion for summary judgment. Indeed, a court may not							
2	automatically grant summary judgment to a party solely because the other party fails to properly							
3	oppose the motion. See Fed R. Civ. P. 56(e)(2)-(3); Heinemann v. Satterberg, 731 F.3d 914, 917							
4	(9th Cir. 2013).							
5	Ac	Accordingly, it is <b>ORDERED</b> :						
6	1.	Plaintiff's motion for order directing Defendant's to respond to motion for summary						
7		judgment (Doc. No. 100) is moot.						
8	2.	Plaintiff's motion f	or default (Doc. No	. 100) is DENIED.				
9	3.	Plaintiff may file a	reply to Defendants	s' opposition within	n fourteen (14) days of			
10	receipt of Defendants' opposition. Local Rule 230(1). If no reply is filed within							
11	fourteen (14) days or Plaintiff does seek an extension of time to file a reply, Plaintiff							
12	motion for summary judgment and the opposition will be deemed submitted.							
13				,				
14	Dated:	March 31, 2025	_	Helma Th.	Barch-Kuelle CH-KUCHTA			
15					CH-KUCHTA MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
16				011111111111111111111111111111111111111				
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								